Contribution from the Central Research Department, Contribution from the Central Research De_l

Multicomponent Redistribution Equilibria Between Methylsilanes and Met hylgermanes

Kurt Moedritzer

Received January 25, 1971

and I (System I), and Cl, Br, I, and OCoHs (System and I (System I), and Cl, Br, I, and OC $_{6}H_{5}$ (System *II*) between the methylsilicon and methylgermanium moieties have been studied quantitatively by proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. The experimental data have been evaluated in terms of a minimum-number set of 16 equilibrium constants for *System I and 35 equilibrium constants for System II.* These sets of constants in turn have been used to *compute theoretical equilibrium distributions. As a* result of preferential affinities of the redistributing substituents for either silicon or germanium, certain species do not appear at equilibrium in detectable amounts. For System I compounds with Si-Cl-, Ge-Br, and Ge-I bonds are strongly favored and for *Ge-I bonds predominate.*

Redistribution equilibria of the substituents Cl, Br,

In previous communications from this laboratory

In previous communications from this laboratory dealing with multicomponent scrambling equilibria¹⁻⁵ the experimental techniques as well as the mathematical treatment of such systems has been developed. This paper deals with two such systems of advanced complexity. In one of these as many as forty different chemical species will have to be considered, experimentally as well as mathematically, as potential components of the equilibria.

Reagents. Methyltrichlorosilane was purchased from Peninsular Chem. Research, Inc., Gainesville, Florida and redistilled before use. Methyltribromosilane,⁶ methyltriphenoxysilane⁷ and the methyltrihalogermanes⁸ were prepared according to methods of the literature.

Reagents. Methyltrichlorosilane was purchased

(1) K. Moedritzer and J.R. Van Wazer, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2, 111

968).

(2) K. Moedritzer, J.R. Van Wazer and R.E. Miller, *Inorg. Chem.*,

(3) K. Moedritzer, L.C.D. Groenweghe and J.R. Van Wazer, *J. Phys.*

(3) K. Moe

System I of this communication equilibrated samples system I of this communication equilibrated samples were prepared by sealing various proportions of the components CH₃SiCl₃, CH₃SiBr₃, CH₃GeCl₃, CH₃GeBr₃, and CH_3GeI_3 in 5 mm o.d. nuclear magnetic resonance (nmr) tubes under anhydrous conditions and holding these at 120° . Equilibrium was established within 56 hours at this temperature as evidenced by no further change with time of the nmr spectra of these samples. The quantitative measurements, however, were made after the samples had been held for 13 days at this temperature. Samples of System II, prepared from various proportions of $CH₃SiCl₃$, $CH₃Si(OC₆H₅)₃$, $CH₃GeBr₃$, and $CH₃GeBr₃$, were studied in a similar manner. Equilibrium was reached after the samples were held for 62 days at 150° and the final equilibrium data were obtained after 84 days at this temperature. In the latter system a higher equilibration temperature had to be chosen on account of the slow equilibration rates involving $CH₃Si(OC₆H₅)₃$.

The mole fractions of the various compounds present at equilibrium were determined by quantitatively evaluating the proton nmr spectra (obtained on a Varian A-60 Spectrometer operating at a probe temperature of 33°) given by the methyl group of the various methylsilanes and methylgermanes participating in the equilibrium. The spectra were obtained after rapid quenching of the samples from the reaction temperature to the temperature of the nmr probe. From these data weighted-average equilibrium constants were calculated using previously reported procedures.⁹ Also the computation of the equilibrium distributions in the multicomponent systems from the chosen equilibrium constants was done by computer using a program developed in this laboratory.³

$T_{\rm eff}$ exchange processes occurring processes occurring processes occurring processes occurring processes of $T_{\rm eff}$

The exchange processes occurring in systems preared by combining various species of the general $\frac{1}{2}$ can $\frac{1}{2}$ CH $\frac{1}{2}$ can $\frac{1}{2}$ involve interchanges of type $CH₃SiT₃$ and $CH₃GeZ₃$ involve interchanges of the monofunctional, exchangeable substituents T and Z between the moieties $CH₃Si$ and $CH₃Ge$. The reaction conditions were selected to permit interchanges nvolving Si-T, Si-Z, Ge-T, and Ge-Z bonds but ot Si-C and Ge-C bonds. This leaves the methylsilicon and methylgermanium moieties intact and the different single-line nmr spectra of the resulting me-

Chem., **36, 303 (1964).**

Table I. Equilibrium Constants^a as Calculated from the Experimental Data.

		SYSTEM I ^b		SYSTEM II ^C		Literature Values ^d		
		$Q = CH3 S1$	$Q = CH_3Ge$	$Q = CH3Si$	$Q = CH_3Ge$	$Q = CH3 S1$	$Q = CH_3Ge$	
κ_1	: [QCl ₃ } [QBr ₂ Cl] / [QBrCl ₂] ²	0.37 ± 0.02	$(0.42)^{e}$	$0.39 + 0.14$	$(0.42)^{e}$	0.40 ± 0.02 $(120^{\circ})^{\circ}$	0.42 ± 0.03 (35°) ^e	
к,	$:[QBr_3](QBrCl_2]/[QBr_2Cl]^2$	0.41 ± 0.07	$0.42 + 0.12$	$(0.43)^{e}$	$0.40 + 0.11$	0.43 ± 0.02 $(120^{\circ})^{\circ}$	0.41 ± 0.03 (35°) ^e	
K ₃	$:(QCl_3)(QCl_2)/(QCl_2I)^2$	$(0.333)^{f}$	$(0.79)^{e}$	$(0.333)^{f}$	$(0.79)^{e}$	0.29 ± 0.03 (460°) ²	$0.79 \pm 0.07 (35^\circ)^e$	
к,	$:[QI_{3}](QCI_{2}I)/[QCII_{2}]^{2}$	$(0.333)^t$	0.51 ± 0.41	$(0.333)^t$	0.79 ± 0.64	0.45 ± 0.05 (460°) ²	0.72 ± 0.06 (35 [°]) ^e	
K5	$:[QBr_3][QBrI_2]/(QBr_2I)^2$	$(0.333)^{f}$	$0.39 + 0.02$	$(0.333)^{f}$	$0.42 + 0.02$	$\ddot{}$	0.42 ± 0.03 (35°) ^e	
Ke	$:[QI_3][QBr_2I]/[QBrI_2]^2$	$(0.333)^{f}$	0.43 ± 0.02	$(0.333)^t$	$0.42 + 0.03$		0.44 ± 0.03 $(35^{\circ})^{\circ}$	
K ₇	$:[QCl_3]$ [QC1(OPh) ₂]/[QC1 ₂ (OPh)] ²		$- -$	$0.22 + 0.01$	$(0.16)^8$	0.22 ± 0.04 $(150^{\circ})^{\text{h}}$	$\overline{}$	
Кe	$:[q(0Ph)_3][qCl_2(0Ph)]/[qCl(0Ph)_2]^2$		\sim \sim	$0.19 + 0.04$	$(0.05)^8$	$0.18 + 0.04$ $(150^{\circ})^{\text{h}}$	k	
Ke	: $[QBr_3]$ $[QBr(OPh)_2] / [QBr_2(OPh)]^2$	--	$\overline{}$.	$(0.38)^h$	$(0.19)^8$	0.38 ± 0.02 (150 [°]) ^h	k	
k_{10}	: ${Q(OPh)}_3$ ${RBr_2(OPh)}$ ${V(QBr(OPh)}_2)^2$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$0.17 + 0.19$	$(0.26)^8$	$0.28 + 0.02 (150^{\circ})^{\mathsf{h}}$	__ k	
K_{11}	$:[QI_3][QI(OPh)_2]/[QI_2(OPh)]^2$	--	--	$(0.555)^T$	$(1.7)^8$	k $\ddot{}$	*	
	K_{12} : [Q(OPh) ₃][QI ₂ (OPh)]/[QI(OPh) ₂] ²	--	۰-	$(0.333)^T$	$(0.30)^8$	\sim k	k	
	K_{13} : [QBr ₂ C1] {QC1I ₂ }/[QBrC1I] ²	$(0.25)^{f}$	$0.32 + 0.08$	$(0.250)^{f}$	0.33 ± 0.08	k	0.33 ± 0.02 (35 [°]) ³	
	K_{14} : [QBr ₂ C1] [QC1(OPh) ₂]/[QBrC1(OPh)] ²			0.30 ± 0.12	$(0.28)^8$	k	0.16 ± 0.02 (35 [°]) ¹	
K_{15}	: [QC1I ₂] {QC1(OPh) ₂ }/[QC1I(OPh)} ²	$-$		$(0.250)^{f}$	$(0.80)^8$	k	0.55 ± 0.08 (35°) ¹	
	K_{10} : [QBrI ₂] (QBr(OPh) ₂]/[QBrI(OPh)] ²		$- -$	$(0.250)^{f}$	$(0.35)^8$	k	0.55 ± 0.08 (35°) ³	
×.	: {Me SiCl ₃ } {Me Ge I ₃ } / { [Me Si I ₃ } {Me GeC l ₃] }	$(6.67 \times 10^{+11})^e$		$(6.7 \times 10^{+11})^e$		$(6.7 + 3.1)10^{+11}$ $(120^{\circ})^e$		
K _{ti}	: [Ne S1C 1 ₃] [Ne Ge (OPh) ₃] / { [Ne S1 (OPh) ₃ } [Ne GeC 1 ₃] }	$- -$		$(1 \times 10^{-12})^1$		$(1 + 0.9)10^{-12} (150^{\circ})^1$		
	$\mathtt{K}_{\mathtt{III}}: \{\mathtt{MeSiCl}_3\} \{\mathtt{MeGeBr}_3\}/\allowbreak\{\{\mathtt{MeSiBr}_3\}\{\mathtt{MeGeCl}_3\}\}$	$(1.03 \times 10^{4}$ ⁵) ^e		$(1.0 \times 10^{45})^e$		$(1.0 + 0.4)10^{+5}$ $(120^{\circ})^e$		

Weighted average values and their standard error; values listed in parentheses are either estimated or literature data. These concentrations of Tables II and III. B α_{min} is the concentration of the Concentration of the choice of the choice of α_{min} and \mathbf{C} is the contribution control of \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C} . \mathbf{C} is \mathbf{C} the form for a particular equilibrium constant. Extending $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}$ not a particular constitution constant e Def. 6. fortuned e Def. 1. *h* Def. 7. *Alm* Constant e Def. 6. *h* Def. 7. *Alm*

thylsilane and methylgermane derivatives may be utilized to study the equilibria as well as the kinetics *Equilibrium Constants.* Systems of the above type,

Equilibrium Constants. Systems of the above type, like any other system at equilibrium, may be described mathematically in terms of sets of equilibrium constants. These may be chosen in many different ways, however, in agreement with previous practice, $1-5$ the sets described below will be used.

For each pair of exchanging monofunctional substituents on a given trifunctional central moiety, Q, such as methylsilicon or methylgermanium, a pair of equilibrium constants, K_a and \bar{K}_b , is required which are derived from the equations shown below.

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_i$

 $K_a = [QT_aZ][QZ_a]/[QTZ_a]^2$ (2)

 $2QT₂Z \subseteq QT₃ + QT₂$ (3)

$$
K_b = [\mathrm{QT}_3][\mathrm{QTZ}_2]/[\mathrm{QT}_2\mathrm{Z}]^2 \tag{4}
$$

In addition, in the presence of three or more different kinds of monofunctional substituents one equilibrium constant is required for each possible combination of any three different substituents. Denoting with X a third monofunctional, exchangeable substituent, in addition to T and Z , the following type of general equilibrium equation and equilibrium con- 2σ considered.

$$
2KTXZ = QT_2X + QXZ_2 \tag{5}
$$

 $K_c = [QT_2X][QXZ_2]/[QTXZ]^2$ (6) Furthermore, when two different kinds of trifunc-

Furthermore, when two different kinds of trifunctional central moieties, Q and M, are present, one

Inorganica Chimica Acta 1 5: 4 1 *December, 1971*

additional equilibrium constant for each pair of monoinctional substituents may be written. This conant (intersystem constant), in general form, is rived from the equilibrium reaction given below. $\ddot{}$

$$
QT_3 + MZ_3 \le QZ_3 + MT_3 \tag{7}
$$

$$
K_{\mathrm{d}} = [\mathrm{QZ}_{3}][\mathrm{MT}_{3}]/\{[\mathrm{QT}_{3}][\mathrm{MZ}_{3}]\} \qquad (8)
$$

The number of constants of the above type that one may write is equal to the number of possible, different pairings of two exchangeable substituents each, but not all of these constants are independent ones.

System I . The components of this system are the three exchangeable, monofunctional substituents CI, Br, I, and the two trifunctional central moieties CH₃Si and $CH₃Ge$. In agreement with the above and the previously reported rational,^{1.5} there is required a set of three pairs of equilibrium constants of the type of K_a and \bar{K}_b , defined by Eq. (2) and (4), for each of the two central moieties. These are shown in a more specific form in Table I as K_1 through K_6 . Since two kinds of central moieties will have to be considered here, a total of twelve such constants will result.

In the present case only one compound may be realized for each of the two central moieties which has three different exchangeable, monofunctional substituents. Thus two constants of the type of K_c defined by Eq. (6) may be written. These are expressed in a more specific form as K_{13} in Table 1.

Considering now the constants governing the distribution of the exchangeable substituents between the two central moieties, there are three possible pairings of the three different substituents \overline{Cl} , Br, and I. Cl/Br, Cl/I, and Br/I. These will give three constants of the form of K_d , defined by Eq. (8), or, more

a Chem. Shift Pe ak			- Experiment Number					
No.	(ppm)	Assignment	ı	2	3	4	5	6 ¹
(1)	2.766	CH_3GeI_3	3.9 ^b $(3.9)^c$	3.6 (3.6)	2.8 (3.0)	1.5 (1.5)	17.0 (16.9)	2.3 (2.5)
(2)	2.488	CH_3GeBrI_2	11.5 (11.4)	12.4 (12.9)	9.0 (9.0)	7.6 (7.7)	21.2 (20.7)	8.2 (8.2)
	$- -$	CH ₃ SiI ₃	۰. (0.0)	\ddotsc (0.0)	-- (0.0)	-- (0.0)	-- (0.0)	-- (0.0)
(3)	2.310	CH ₃ GeCl ₂	1.9 (1.8)	0.4 (0.3)	0.6 (0.7)	1.2 (1.2)	3.4 (3.3)	0.4 (0.4)
(4)	2.248	CH ₃ GeBr ₂ I	14.4 (14.4)	20.2 (19.8)	12.1 (L1.7)	17.0 (17.2)	11.1 (10.8) (11.6)	11.9
	--	CH ₃ SiBrI ₂	$- -$ (0.0)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)	- - (0.0)
(5)	2.083	CH ₃ GeC1BrI	4.5 (4.5)	1.0 (0.9)	1.7 (1.8)	5.7 (5.4)	3.8 (3.5)	1.2 (1.2)
	--	CH ₃ SiCl ₂	$\overline{}$ (0.0)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)	-- (0.0)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)	-- (0.0)
(6)	2.037	CH_3GeBr_3	7.0 (7.1)	12.7 (11.9)	6.1 (5.9)	15.4 (17.2)	2.4 (2.2)	6.7 (6.4)
(7)	1.936	CH_3GeCl_2I	0.4 (0.4)	$- -$ (0.0)	$\overline{}$. (0.1)	0.7 (0.5)	0.3 (0.3)	-- (0.0)
(8)	1.900 --	CH_3GeBr_2Cl CH ₃ SiBr ₂ I	3.6 (3.7) --	0.8 (0.9) --	1.5 (1.6)	8.0 (8.0)	1.6 (1.2)	1.2 (1.1) --
			(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)
	--	CH3SiBrC1I	$- -$ (0.0)	$- -$ (0.1)	-- (0.0)	-- (0.0)	-- (0.0)	 (0.1)
(9)	1.760	CH ₃ GeV1 ₂	0.9 (0.8)	-- (0.0)	-- (0.2)	1.8 (1.8)	0.3 (0.3)	-- (0.1)
	--	CH_3GeCl_3	\ddotsc (0.1)	-- (0.0)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)	-- (0.2)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)
(10)	1.555	CH ₃ SiBr ₃	$\overline{}$ (0.0)	3.2 (3.5)	0.3 (0.3)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)	-- (0.0)	0.7 (0.9)
	$- -$	CH ₃ SiCl ₂ I	$\overline{}$ (0.1)	$\overline{}$. (0.1)	$\overline{}$. (0.1)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)	(0.1)	۰. (0.1)
(11)	1.400	CH ₃ SiBr ₂ Cl	0.9 (1.0)	12.6 (13.4)	3.7 (3.8)	0.7 (0.8)	0.6 (0.7)	7.7 (7.7)
(12)	1.247	CH ₃ SiBrCl ₂	9.5 (10.4)	20.7 (20.7)	20.8 (20.6)	7.6 (8.1)	6.9	25.7 (7.8) (26.3)
(13)	1.105	CH ₃ SiCl ₃	41.5 (40.6)	12.3 (11.9)	41.6 (41.2)	32.8 (32.4)	31.5 (32.0) (33.4)	34.0
		Composition Parameter: $R_1 \equiv [C1]/([Ge]+[Si])$	1.562 ^d $(1.570)^e$	0.929 (0.931)	1.735 (1.739)	1.341 (1.342)		1.220 1.638 (1.189)(1.639)
		$R_2 \equiv [Br] / ([Ge] + [Si])$	0.866^{d} $(0.852)^e$	1.491 (1.490)	0.843 (0.853)	1.206 (1.203)		0.645 0.986 (0.660)(0.989)
		$R_3 \equiv [1]/([Ge]+[Si])$	0.573^d (0.578) ^e	0.579 (0.576)	0.422 (0.414)	0.453 (0.455)		1.135 0.377 (1.154)(0.372)
		$R_T = [Ge]/([Ge]+[Si])$	0.480^{d} $(0.481)^e$	0.503 (0.511)	0.339 (0.338)	0.586 (0.589)		0.593 0.315 (0.611)(0.319)

at \mathbf{r} is the interval of \mathbf{r} .

 $TMS = 0$ with downfield shifts notitive, measured in the next liquid sample of experiment. No A of this table with TAC ble I for the *R* values as calculated from the ingredients. d From the ingredients of the mixture as defined. e From the nmr data s internai
le I for the

specifically, in K_I for Cl/I, K_{III} for Cl/Br, both shown able I, and K_{IV} for Br/I listed below (Me=CH₃).

$$
K_{\text{IV}} = [\text{MeSiBr}_3][\text{MeGeI}_3]/\{[\text{MeSiI}_3][\text{MeGeBr}_3]\} \tag{9}
$$

In view of the relationship

$$
K_{\text{IV}}=K_{\text{I}}/K_{\text{III}}\tag{10}
$$

one of these three constants is redundant and, therefore, K_{IV} has been omitted from the Table since two constants of the type of K_d are sufficient for inclusion in the minimum-number set of 16 constants. Such a set is required for the complete mathematical description of all equilibria in this system involving the 20 participating chemically different species.

The experimental equilibrium data in Table II as obtained from the nmr spectra show that not all of the twenty species expected to be seen at equilibrium are present in detectable amounts. Species which are present in very small amounts or, for practical purposes, not present at all are the following: CH₃SiI₃, CH₃- $SiBrI₂$, $CH₃SiClI₂$, $CH₃SiBr₂I$, $CH₃SiCl₂I$, and $CH₃Si$ -BrClI; CH₃GeCl₃, CH₃GeBrCl₂ and CH₃GeCl₂I. Therefore, not all of the 16 equilibrium constants discussed above could be determined from the data. The data, however, permitted the calculation of $K_1^{S_i}$ and K_2^{Si} for the silicon-based species and K_2^{Ge} , K_4^{Ge} , K_5^{Ge} , K_6^{Ge} , and K_{13}^{Ge} for the germanium-based species. It is seen in Table I that the values of these constants agree quite well with values determined in the simplest possible systems reported previously.

For the computation of the theoretical distribution of species (values shown in parenthese in Table II) from the set of 16 equilibrium constants and the composition parameters of Table II it was, therefore, necessary to use values for the missing constants which were adopted from other studies or which were stimated. These values are shown in parentheses in able I. The estimated values $(K_3^{Si}, K_4^{Si}, K_5^{Si}, K_6^{Si},$ and K_{13}^{Si}) are based on the assumption that halogenhalogen exchanges to a first order approximation generally are random processes^{to} and, therefore, it is reasonable to assign the random value to these constants. Remarkable good agreement is seen in Table II between experimental and calculated equilibrium concentrations which indicates that the procedure used is quite reliable.

The conspicuous absence of certain speciese at equilibrium is governed by the extremely large values of $K_{\rm I}$ and $K_{\rm III}$ which at equilibrium favor the existence of compounds with Ge-I, Ge-Br, and Si-Cl bonds t the expense of species having Ge-Cl, Si-I, and i-Br bonds. The influence of the constants of the ϵ pe of K_a , K_b , and K_c , which all have values close to the ideal random case (for K_a and K_b , $K_{rand} = 0.333$; for K_c , $K_{rand} = 0.25$) on the other hand, is relatively **System II. II**

System II. The incorporation of one additional kind of monofunctional, exchangeable substituent, the phenoxy group, into the equilibria of System I doubles the number of species in System II expected as a result of exchange processes. A total of 40 different species is to be considered and the equilibrium concentration of each of these is governed by a minimumumber set of 35 equilibrium constants. With the our monofunctional substituents being Cl, Br, I, and OPh, $Ph = C_6H_5$, and the trifunctional central moiety Q being either CH₃Si or CH₃Ge, the following 20 compounds each for $Q = CH_3Si$ or CH₃Ge are potential participants of the equilibria.

As was done above for System I, it can be shown that for System II a set of six pairs of constants of the type of K_a and K_b , defined by Eqs. (2) and (3), for each one of the two central moieties will be effective. This total of 24 equilibrium constants expressed in a more detailed form is presented as K_r through K_{12} in Table I.

The four species having each three different exchangeable substituents require four constants of the type of K_c , defined by Eq. (6), for each one of the two central moieties. These are shown in Table I as K_{13} through K_{16} representing a total of 8 equilibrium constants.

As intersystem constants we may consider 6 constants describing the distribution of the six pairs of substituents Cl/Br, Cl/I, Cl/OPh, Br/I, Br/OPh, and I/OPh between the two central moieties. It turns out that only three of these are independent, the three others may be derived from the independent ones. These independent constants of the general form of K_d , defined by Eq. (8), are shown in Table I as K_I , K_{II} , and K_{III} . The remaining three intersystem constants, the dependent ones, are K_{IV} of Eq. (9) which may be derived from K_1 and K_{III} by using Eq. (10 and K_V and K_{VI}) listed below.

$$
K_{\rm V} = \left[\text{MeSiBr}_3 \right] \left[\text{MeGe(OPh)}_3 \right] \left\{ \text{MeSi(OPh)}_3 \right] \left[\text{MeGeBr}_3 \right] \newline = K_{\rm II} / K_{\rm III}
$$
 (11)

$$
K_{\rm VI} = [\text{MeSiI}_{\bullet}][\text{MeGe(OPh)}_{\bullet}]/[\text{MeSi(OPh)}_{\bullet}][\text{MeGeI}_{\bullet}]\}
$$

= $K_{\rm II}/K_{\rm I}$ (12)

The experimental equilibrium data shown in Table III indicate again that not all of the expected species are present in detectable amounts. There are twenty species out of the forty species expected, which in the nmr spectra of experiments 1 to 5 of Table III do not show at all and four species which appear in all of the five experiments in equilibrium concentrations which are less than 1 mole percent. The species not seen at equilibrium are MeSiI₁. MeSiI₂ (OPh), MeSiClI₂, MeSiBrI₂, MeSiCl₂I, MeSiBr₂I, MeSi- $I(OPh)$, MeSiBrClI, MeSiClI(OPh), and MeSiBrI-(OPh) for the silicon-based species and $MeGe(OPh)$, MeGeCl(OPh)₂, MeGeBr(OPh)₂, MeGeI(OPh)₂, MeGe- $Cl₂(OPh)$, MeGeBr₂(OPh), MeGeI₂(OPh), MeGeBrCl-(OPh), MeGeClI(OPh), and MeGeBrI(OPh) for the germanium-based species. Those appearing in equilibrium concentrations of less than 1 mole percent are $MeSiBr_3$, MeSiBr₂(OPh), MeGeCl₃, and MeGeCl₂I. This situation on one hand is quite fortunate since it would have been extremely difficult, if not impossible, to resolve all 40 nmr signals in the rather narrow range of ca. 0.4 to 2.5 ppm; on the other hand, it allowed, as shown in Table I, the calculation from the data of Table III of only five equilibrium con-

Table III. Equilibrium Data (in Mole Per Cent) for the System Involving Exchange of Cl, Br, I, and OC.H, between CH,Si and CH₃Ge at 150° (System 11).

Peak Number	Chem. Shift ^a (ppm)	b Assignment	ı	\overline{c}	Experiment Number 3	4	$\overline{5}$
(1)	2.532	CH_3Ge1_3	4.0 ^c $(4.6)^d$	2.3 (2.5)	1.3 (1.3)	6.2 (6.4)	1.3 (1.3)
(2)	2.248	CHaCeBrI ₂	13.1 (14.2)	7.8 (8.0)	6.4 (7.0)	18.3 (18.9)	7.8 (7.9)
(3)	2.064	CH_3GeClI_2	1.3 (1.2)	1.1 (1.0)	0.8 (0.6)	1.5 (1.1)	0.6 (0.4)
(4)	1.992	CH3GeBr2I	17.6 (18.1)	10.8 (11.0)	15.7 (16.1)	229 (23.4)	19.6 (19.7)
(5)	1.825	CH3GeBrC1I	3.9 (5.8)	3.8 (3.3)	$\frac{3.9}{(3.4)}$	4.2 (3.5)	3.5 (2.7)
(6)	1.768	CH ₃ GeBr ₃	10.4 (9.7)	6.2 (6.3)	15.6 (15.5)	11.8 (12.1)	20.5 (20.4)
(7)	1.664	CH_3GeCl_2I	0.3 (0.2)	0.4 (0.3)	0.4 (0.2)	0.3 (0.2)	0.2 (0.1)
(8)	1.617	CH ₃ GeBr ₂ Cl	4.2 (3.9)	3.9 (3.6)	6.8 (6.3)	3.9 (3.5)	6.1 (5.5)
(9)	1.471	$CH_3GeBrCl_2$	0.7 (0.6)	0.9 (0.8)	1.3 (1.0)	0.4 (0.4)	0.8 (0.6)
(10)	1.338	CH ₃ GeCl ₃	-- (0.0)	0.1 (0.1)	-- (0.1)	0.2 (0.0)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)
(11)	1.314	CHaSiBra	\overline{a} (0.0)	$\overline{}$. (0.0)	0.4 (0.1)	$\overline{}$ (0.0)	۰. (0.0)
(12)	1.197	CH ₃ SBr ₂ Cl	0.5 (0.6)	0.3 (0.4)	1.2 (0.9)	0.8 (0.5)	0.3 (0.3)
(13)	1.046	CH ₃ SiBrCl ₂	4.4 (4.2)	4.4 (3.9)	6.5 (6.4)	2.9 (2.8)	1.7 (1.4)
(14)	0.992	$CH_3SiBr_2(OC_6H_5)$	0.2 (0.3)	0.6 (0.2)	0.3 (0.2)	0.3 (0.3)	0.3 (0.4)
(15)	0.911	CH ₃ SiCl ₃	13.5 (11.8)	14.8 (15.7)	16.4 (18.1)	5.2 (5.6)	2.4 (2.6)
(16)	0.800	$CH3 SI BIC1(OC6H5)$	3.1 (3.9)	4.4 (4.3)	3.4 (3.6)	3.5 (3.6)	4.3 (4.4)
(17)	0.788	$CH3SIC12(OC6H5)$	16.5 (16.7)	26.8 (26.9)	15.5 (15.8)	11.1 (11.3)	11.8 (12.8)
(18)	0.731	$CH3SiBr(OC6H5)2$	0.4 (0.7)	0.7 (0.9)	0.2 (0.4)	1.0 (0.9)	2.5 (2.7)
(19)	0.603	$CH3SiCl(OC6H5)2$	5.5 (5.2)	9.9 (10.2)	3.9 (3.1)	5.2 (5.0)	13.3 (13.8)
(20)	0.388	$CH3Si(OC6H5)3$	0.4 (0.3)	0.6 (0.7)	0.1 (0.1)	0.5 (0.4)	2.9 (2.9)
	Composition Parameter	$R_1 \equiv [C1]/([Ge]+[Si])$	$0.977 \frac{e}{f}$ (1.028) ^f	1.338 (1.331)	1.193 (1.166)	0.634 (0.647)	0.648 (0.643)
		$R_{2} \equiv [Br] / ([Ge] + [Si])$	1.020 ^e $(1.018)^f$	0.704 (0.718)	1.152 (1.177)	1.219 (1.215)	1.329 (1.347)
		$R_0 \equiv [1]/([Ge]+ [Si])$	0.667 $\frac{e}{f}$ (0.626) ^f	0.399 (0.397)	0.386 (0.383)	0.864 (0.856)	0.432 (0.440)
		$\underline{R}_4 \equiv [(OPh)] / [(Ge] + [Si]) 0.336 \text{ }^e$ (0.328) ^f		0.559 (0.548)	0.270 (0.277)	0.283 (0.288)	0.591 (0.567)
		$R_7 = [Si]/([Ge] + [Si]$	0.438 ^e $(0.445)^{f}$	0.632 (0.625)	0.487 (0.479)	0.306 (0.305)	0.413 (0.395)

 $\text{TMS}=0$ with downfield shifts nositive: measured in the neat liquid sample of experiment No. 2 of this table with TMS as laternal standard. ^b Species for which no nmr peak was seen are not shown. ^c From the nmr data in mole per cent. ^d Calcured from the equilibrium constants of System II of Table I for the *R* values as calculated from ingredients of the mixture as defined f From the nmr data as defined.

stants for the silicon system, K_1^{Si} , K_2^{Si} , K_8^{Si} , K_{10}^{Si} , and K_{14}^{Si} , and also five equilibrium constants for the germanium-based system, K_2^{Ge} , K_4^{Ge} , K_5^{Ge} , K_6^{Ge} , and K_{13} ^{Ge}. None of the intersystem constants could be calculated from the experimental data of Table III. The values of the above ten constants calculated directly agree quite well with values for these constants reported previously in studies of systems consisting of also proviously in the Infinitum number of components. The fatter are also shown in Table I.
In order to be able to compute the theoretical di-

stribution of species at equilibrium (values shown in $\frac{1}{2}$ equilibrium constants shown in tentifies in Table 111, 110m the immittion number set of 35 equilibrium constants, values for the additionally required 25 equilibrium constants were either estimated or taken from previous studies. The values of the latter type of constants are listed in parentheses in Table I. As mentioned above for System I, also halogen extension exchanges *(KSSI) KSSI, Kostinated (KsSi) Kostinated Kostina the belozen* correspondence to the result of the random values of constants and well constants and we chosen on the basis that the basis of $\frac{1}{2}$ correspond to the random values of these types of constants and were chosen on the basis that to a first order approximation halogen-halogen exchanges generally follow random statistics.¹⁰ The other estimated change of \mathcal{C} is the \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{C} is assumed were also assumed with \mathcal{C} \mathcal{C} is assumed with \mathcal{C} as a sum- $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2$ ange of natogens with σ in groups were also assumed to represent processes which, in a first order approximation, approach random statistics. This assumption, of course is less accurate than the one made for the halogen-halogen exchanges, however, the few data available on halogen-phenoxy exchanges on silicon⁷ confirm that these do not deviate by a lot from μ common that these as hot deviate by a for from ϵ rangem values. Expans, good agreement is seen between experimental and calculated equilibrium concentrations in spite of the estimation of some of the required equilibrium constants.

Examining the pattern in the types of species not detectable at equilibrium, it turns out that the ten silicon-based species not seen consist of all the ones containing one or more iodine substituents, whereas the ring one or more round substituents, whereas the $\frac{1}{3}$ stimandar-pased species not seen at equipping present an the ones comaning one or more or it sub- T_{total} and the those of the three dependent intersections of the three dependents $V = V_{\text{total}} + V_{\text{total}}$ pendent intersystem constants K_I , K_{II} , and K_{III} in Table I and those of the three dependent intersystem Equal to the complete of the complete or the second terms of the *n* in the second terms of the *kv= 10 m*_c $\frac{1}{2}$ and *Kardiand Hom* the muependent ones using
 $\kappa = (10-11)$ and (12) $V = 6.7 \times 10^{16}$ $V = 10^{-17}$ Eqs. (10), 11), and (12), $K_{IV} = 6.7 \times 10^{-6}$, $K_{V} = 10^{-17}$
and $K_{VI} = 1.5 \times 10^{-24}$, it appears that the overall equilibrium is governed mainly by the intersystem con-(Kristing the meet from the 1100) steel con $d = 400$ and $d = 5$ and $d = 400$ and $d = 5$ and $d = 100$ $\lim_{x \to 0}$ and $\lim_{x \to 0}$ and $\lim_{x \to 0}$ is $\lim_{x \to 0}$ and $\lim_{x \to 0}$ of this extreme of this extreme of the second of $\lim_{x \to 0}$ and $\lim_{x \to 0}$ and constant indicates that for all proposes that for all purposes that $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i)$ MeSi and MeGe. The extremely small value of this constant indicates that for all practical purposes the equilibrium of the equation below based on K_{VI} ,

$$
MeSi(OPh)_3 + MeGeI_3 \longrightarrow MeSiI_3 + MeGe(OPh)_3
$$
 (13)

 \mathbf{S} similarly, it may be shown for any other species con t_1 and t_2 and t_3 and t_4 and t_5 and t_6 and t_7 and t_8 and t_9 and Similarly, it may be shown for any other species containing the substituents I and OPh by calculation of the pertinent equilibrium constant from the minimum-number set of 35 constants, that any compound

having one or more Si-I bonds or one or more Ge-OPh bonds is thermodynamically unstable in this system and will readily rearrange exchanging substiof Sir and Will I caulty Tearls T_{tot} intermodynamically stable arrangement of Si-OPh and Ge-I bonds.
The intersystem constant with the second largest

deviation from randomness is K_v . This constant is based on the equation below

$$
MeSi(OPh)_1 + MeGeBr_3 \Leftrightarrow MeSiBr_3 + MeGe(OPh)_3 \tag{14}
$$

indicating that the components of the left hand side. of Eq. (14) are favored at equilibrium. As a consequence, the equilibrium concentration of the species $MeSiBr₃$, MeSiBr₂(OPh), and MeSiBr₂Cl is quite small in the samples of Table III. The combined influence ϵ constants of the ϵ of ϵ of ϵ of ϵ of ϵ of ϵ on the overall on t extends the constants as well as the other interspectrum $\frac{11}{100}$ and $\frac{1}{100}$ and $\frac{1}{100}$ and $\frac{1}{100}$ constants, the influence of the latter on the overall equilibrium is less drastic, results in an overall distribution of substituents favoring at equilibrium compounds having Si-Cl, Si-(OPh), Ge-Br and Ge-I and σ internal σ -C_I, σ -C_I, σ -C_I, σ C_I, σ ₁ and σ ² $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ interest of the constants of the upon the distribution is less significant.

Equilibrium Temperature. In System I as well as of *K,, KI,,* and *K,* for the germanium based species $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ \mathbf{r}_3 , \mathbf{r}_5 , and \mathbf{r}_6 for the germanism based species correspond to 33°, the temperature of the nmr probe, on account of rapid exchange of substituents bonded t_{coup} or t_{coup} of t_{coup} or t_{coup} of t_{coup} or t_{coup} of t_{coup} or t_{coup} of t_{coup} or t_{coup} permantant with other sach substituents. A night temperature nmr study of the composition of experiment 2 of System II in Table III shows increasing line. broadening of the nmr signals corresponding to ger-
manium-based species with increasing temperature. It is estimated that the average life time of a germanium $\frac{100 \text{ rad}}{100 \text{ s}}$ is a the order of $\frac{100 \text{ rad}}{100 \text{ s}}$ For a reaction is of the order of T is 10 , ϵ and ϵ at room temperature of the order of 3 to 10 seconds.
Exchange reactions involving silicon-based compounds thus the constants of the type *K,, Kb,* and *K,* for the s and the state s of the temperature tange, thus the constants of the type K_a , K_b , and K_c for the silicon-based systems correspond to the temperature
at which the samples were equilibrated since rapid which the samples were equilibrium since rapid enering will field the equilibrium corresponding to this temperature. As a matter of fact, in the equi-
libria involving OPh groups on silicon the exchange $\frac{1}{100}$ of the substituent were participating, $\frac{1}{1000}$ of the participating, the definition of Ω and Ω and Ω and Ω and Ω are to be been to be an international to be a set of Ω . the system where OPh substituents were participating, the higher equilibration temperature of 150° had to be $\frac{1}{2}$ mgner equinoration temperature of 150 may to be $\frac{1}{2}$ response to the equilibration temperature, since, as $\frac{1}{2}$ as $\$ The equilibria of the intersystem constant also correspond to the equilibration temperature, since, as has spond to the equilibrium temperature, since, as nas $\frac{1}{2}$ shown previously, the transier of substituents from silicon to germanium and vice versa is relatively slow at room temperature, so that rapid quenching of the samples and immediate recording of the nmr
spectra will represent the equilibrium situation at or *near the equilibration temperature.*

 \mathbf{b} or \mathbf{d} for components at equilibrium as found in the found in the set of \mathbf{c} bona Energy Considerations. The unusual distribution of components at equilibrium as found in the systems discussed in this paper may be rationalized in terms of bond energies and/or $(p-d)\pi$ bond effects.
In a dynamic equilibrium, such as the one described above, where substituents freely exchange places, the bonds formed preferentially will be those having the

⁽¹⁰⁾ K. Moedritzer, « Organometallic Reactions », (E.1. Becker and M. Tsutsui, ed.), 2, 1 (1971).

ighest bond energy. energies¹¹ Considering the single bond of the Si-A and Ge-A bond $(A=Cl, Br, F)$ I, and 0), the two largest values are those of the Si-O (112 kcal) and Si-Cl (93 kcal) bond, thus tying up the substituents OPh and Cl with silicon atoms and forcing Br and I to form bonds to germanium. It has been argued that the difference in bond energies between corresponding bonds to silicon or germanium are due to π interactions which are stronger

(11) E.A.V. Ebsworth, « Organometallic Compounds of the Group
V Elements » (A.G. MacDiarmid, ed.), Marcel Dekker, Inc., New
/ork, N. Y., 1968, p. 1.

for silicon than for germanium. Therefore, the stronger π donors O and Cl will preferentially associate with silicon as the stronger π acceptor. It is believed that systematic studies of this type and careful evaluation of suitable equilibria will contribute towards the elucidation of differential π bonding effects in organometal!ic systems.

Acknowledgment. I wish to thank Raymond E. Miller for obtaining and evaluating the nmr spectra and assistance in the data treatment.